ብወገፋ≽ ሾ. ወወ

To:04425342923

P. 1

R.P. Sisodia Director (UGC)

D.O. letter No. 8-7/2010- U.1(A)



मानव संसाधन विकास भंपानय उच्चतर विकास विधान शास्त्र भन्न सर्व विस्ती - 110 115

TE TENT - 110 115
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF HUMAN RISCOURCE DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION
SHASTRI BHAVAN

भारत सरकार

New Delhi, 3rd November, 2010-11-03

Dear Sir.

I invite attention to your letter dated 3.11.2010 addressed to Ms. Vibha Puri Das, Secretary, Dept of Higher Education. In this connection I am to inform you that Secretary, UGC in her letter dated 23<sup>18</sup> September, 2010 had communicated the resolution taken under Agenda item No. 2,08 in 471<sup>st</sup> meeting of the UGC heid on 12.08,2010. The resolution was sent to this Ministry for according concurrence of the Ministry. The Ministry has declined to concur with the resolution since the resolution made was found to be against the UGC Regulations and has reiterated compliance with the Central Covernment's directives issued under Section 20(1) of the UGC Act, 1956. A copy of the communication issued to UGC is enclosed along with this letter. You are requested to kindly bring it to the notice of Hon'ble Madras High Court.

With regards,

Yours faithfully

(R.P. Siscola)

To,
Mr. M. Ravindran
Additional Solicitor-General of India
Madras High Court
Fax = 044-25340923



#0142 M. 002/002

diver Sone aj:so

To:04425342923

₽.≥

SUNIL KUMAR ADDITIONAL SECRETARY (HE)



भारत सरकार

मामन संसादम दिनास संघलप

प्रान्ति मनन

पान्ति मनन

मर्द विल्ली – 110 115

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT

DEPARTMENT OF HUMER EDUCATION

SHABTEL BHAVAN

NEW DELHI-LIG LIS

Dated the 3<sup>rd</sup> November, 2010

D.O. NO. - 8-7/2010-U.I(A)

DOAR & KOZANI

I desire to draw your attention to your letter addressed to the Secretary, HE dated 23<sup>rd</sup> September, 2010 in which a request has been made to the Government to accord concurrence to the resolution made by the Commission on agenda item no. 2.08 in its 471<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 12.8.2010.

In this connection, I am desired to convey to you that said resolutions are against the letter and spirit of the regulations issued by UGC from time to time regarding compulsory NET/SLET qualifications for appointment to lecturers/Asst Professors. The above mentioned resolution perhaps does not take into account the fact that appointments, if any, pursuant to the date of coming into force of these regulations are bound to be prospective only. Appointments can never be made with retrospective dates. Therefore, exempting the candidates from NET/SLET requirement, who are going to be appointed or have been appointed after 11th July, 2009 would be violative of the UGC (Minimum qualifications required for the appointment and Career Advancement of teachers for Universities and institutions affiliated to it) (3<sup>th</sup> amendment) Regulations, 2009.

Regarding the plea that since provise to UGC (Minimum qualifications required for the appointment and Career Advancement of teachers for Universities and institutions affiliated to it) Regulations, 2000 remained in force till the notification of UGC (Minimum qualifications for appointment teachers and other Academic Staff in Universities and Colleges and measures for Maintenance of Standards in Higher Education) Regulations, 2010, and hence UGC can consider and dispose of the applications received seeking exemption from NET requirement, again is not tenable. This resolution does not take into account the UGC (Minimum qualifications required for the appointment and Career Advancement of teachers for Universities and institutions affiliated to it) (3rd amendment) Regulations, 2009 at all, wherein a blanket ban was imposed on non-NET/SLET qualified candidates. Similarly, since by Commissions' own admission, the regulations are prospective in nature and not retrospective, invoking the provise to UCC (Minimum qualifications required for the appointment and Carser Advancement of teachers for Universities and institutions affiliated to it) Regulations, 2000 is clearly in-congruous. The present regulations in force do not have any provisions for exemption of candidates who are not NIST/SLET qualified. In the above circumstances, I am desired to draw your attention to and relterate the directive issued under Section 20(1) of the UGC, 1956 in making NET/SLET compulsory for teaching positions as a policy relating to national purposes of maintenance of standards of higher education.

With regards,

by N.A. Kazoni Secretary au-change -

use "

Wee Delh

-ट-का-कृष्ट -जी नार्व बिल्ला आंग्रेपाल हैं मह पर मह सर्व Yours sincerely.

(Sunil kumar) 3/11